## Computer Controlled Systems

Homework 2
Submission deadline: October 26. 2017. 10:00/12:00 (end of the seminar)

All solutions are expected to be calculated by hand, also all figures have to be drawn by hand. Computer programs can be used for self-verification, but all problems have to contain the detailed steps of solutions!

## I. Kinetics of acetone in the human body

The kinetics of the distribution of acetone in the human body is described by the following differential equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{x}_{1}(t)=-K_{e x h} \cdot x_{1}(t)-K_{\text {met }} \cdot x_{1}(t)+K_{\text {in }} \cdot u(t), & x_{1}(0)=x_{1_{0}} \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t)=K_{e x h} \cdot x_{1}(t), & x_{2}(0)=0 \\
\dot{x}_{3}(t)=K_{\text {met }} \cdot x_{1}(t), & x_{3}(0)=0
\end{array}
$$

where $x_{1}[g]$ is the amount of acetone in the body, $x_{2}[g]$ and $x_{3}[g]$ are the amounts of acetone exhaled and metabolized, respectively, while $u\left[\frac{g}{h}\right]$ is the inlet rate of acetone to the body. The system parameters are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
K_{e x h}=4 \frac{1}{h} & \text { exhalation rate constant } \\
K_{\text {met }}=2 \frac{1}{h} & \text { metabolic rate constant } \\
K_{\text {in }}=1 & \text { body inlet rate constant }
\end{array}
$$



By choosing the - easily measurable - amount of exhaled acetone as output: $y(t)=x_{2}(t)$ and $u(t)$ as input, with the state variable $x(t)=\left(\begin{array}{l}x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \\ x_{3}(t)\end{array}\right)$ a third order state-space model is derived:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) & =-6 x_{1}(t)+u(t) \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) & =4 x_{1}(t) \\
\dot{x}_{3}(t) & =2 x_{1}(t) \\
y(t) & =x_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the initial conditions $x_{1}(0)=x_{10}, x_{2}(0)=0$ and $x_{3}(0)=0$.

## Problems

1. Is this state space model controllable/observable?

Solution.This state space model can be written in matrix-vector form:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{x}(t)=A x(t)+B u(t) \\
y(t)=C x(t)
\end{array} \quad, \quad A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-6 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], B=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], C=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The controllability matrix is:

$$
\mathcal{C}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B & A B & A^{2} B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -6 & 36 \\
0 & 4 & -24 \\
0 & 2 & -12
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)=0, \mathcal{C}_{3}$ is not of full rank, therefore the state space model is NOT controllable.
The observability matrix is:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C \\
C A \\
C A^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 \\
-24 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{O}_{3}\right)=0, \mathcal{O}_{3}$ is not of full rank, therefore the state space model is NOT observable.
2. If applicable, determine the controllable/unobservable subspaces of the state space.

Solution.Since the second and third columns of $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ are linearly dependent, the controllable subspace is

$$
\operatorname{im}\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
-3 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

The unobservable subspace is the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{3}$, and it is the solution of the linear set of equations $\mathcal{O}_{3} \cdot x=0$ :

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{3}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

3. Give a minimal state space realization for this system.

First we determine the transfer function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(s) & =C(s I-A)^{-1} B=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
s+6 & 0 & 0 \\
-4 & s & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]= \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{s+6} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{4}{s(s+6)} & \frac{1}{s} & 0 \\
\frac{2}{s(s+6)} & 0 & \frac{1}{s}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\frac{4}{s(s+6)}=\frac{4}{s^{2}+6 s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inverse of $(s I-A)$ has been determined by Gauss-Jordan elimination. Observe that $H(s)$ is of second order meaning that it is a reduced transfer function (it is of third order originally since we have 3 state variables).
We can give different minimal realizations:
Controller form realization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{c}(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-6 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] x_{c}(t)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u(t) \\
y(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 4
\end{array}\right] x_{c}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observer form realization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{o}(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-6 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x_{o}(t)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
4
\end{array}\right] u(t) \\
y(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] x_{o}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

One of the diagonal realizations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(s)=\frac{4}{s(s+6)}=\frac{\frac{4}{6}}{s}-\frac{\frac{4}{6}}{s+6} \Longrightarrow \dot{x}_{d}(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 0 \\
0 & -6
\end{array}\right] x_{d}(t)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] u(t) \\
y(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{6} & -\frac{4}{6}
\end{array}\right] x_{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

A minimal realization can be also given by simply cutting off $x_{3}$ from the state space model, since it has no effect neither on the output nor on the other state variables ( $\dot{x}_{1}$ and $\dot{x}_{2}$ does not depend on $x_{3}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{r}(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-6 & 0 \\
4 & 0
\end{array}\right] x_{r}(t)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u(t) \\
y(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x_{r}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Determine whether the system BIBO stable or not?

Solution.

$$
H(s)=\frac{\frac{4}{6}}{s}-\frac{\frac{4}{6}}{s+6} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad h(t)=\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{H\}(t)=\frac{4}{6}\left(1-e^{-6 t}\right)
$$

We check the absolute integrability of $h$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}|h(t)| d t & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{4}{6}\left(1-e^{-6 t}\right)\right| d t=\frac{4}{6} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|1-e^{-6 t}\right| d t=\frac{4}{6} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1-e^{-6 t} d t= \\
& =\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{6}\left[t+\frac{1}{6} e^{-6 t}\right]_{t=0}^{t=T}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore the system is not BIBO stable.

## II. Stability analysis of a nonlinear system

The motion of a planar pendulum is described by the following differential equation system

$$
m l \ddot{\theta}+m g \sin \theta=0 \Longleftrightarrow \ddot{\theta}+\frac{g}{l} \sin \theta=0
$$

where $m$ denotes the mass of the body, $l$ denotes the length of the pendulum, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. Variable $\theta$ gives the angle of the pendulum relatively to the vertical. In order to build a state space model, we introduce the following state variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\theta \\
& x_{2}=\dot{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Than the state space model of the planar pendulum is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1} & =x_{2} \\
\dot{x}_{2} & =-\frac{g}{l} \sin x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Show that $x^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$ is an equilibrium point of the system!

Solution. Since $\dot{x}_{1}=0$ and $\dot{x}_{2}=0$ if $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{2}=0$, the origin is an equilibrium point.
2. Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=m g l\left(1-\cos x_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} m l^{2} x_{2}^{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is the pendulum total energy. Using $V(x)$ analyse the stability properties of this equilibrium point. Is it stable? Is it asymptotically stable?
Solution. $V$ is positive everywhere (except the origin, where it is zero). Its time derivative is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(x) & =m g l \dot{x}_{1} \sin x_{1}+m l^{2} x_{2} \dot{x}_{2} \\
& =m g l x_{2} \sin x_{1}+m l^{2} x_{2}\left(-\frac{g}{l} \sin x_{1}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the system is (globally) stable, but not in asymptotic sense. This can be explained by the conservativeness of the system since there is no loss of energy.
3. Assuming friction $F=b l \dot{\theta}$, we dynamics will look like

$$
m l \ddot{\theta}+b l \dot{\theta}+m g \sin \theta=0
$$

where $b>0$ is the damping factor.
Hence, the state space equations become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1} & =x_{2} \\
\dot{x}_{2} & =-\frac{g}{l} \sin x_{1}-\frac{b}{m} x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same function $V(x)$ analyse the stability properties of this equilibrium point. Is it stable? Is it asymptotically stable?

Solution. $V(x)$ is globally positive outside the origin, and $V(0)=0$. Its time derivative is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(x) & =m g l \dot{x}_{1} \sin x_{1}+m l^{2} x_{2} \dot{x}_{2} \\
& =m g l x_{2} \sin x_{1}+m l^{2} x_{2}\left(-\frac{g}{l} \sin x_{1}-\frac{b}{m l^{2}} x_{2}\right)=-b x_{2}^{2}<0, \quad \forall x, \text { where } x_{2} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the Theorem learnt, the equilibrium point is globally stable - however, its asymptotic stability can also be shown.

A remark about asymptotic stability:
Notice that $\dot{V}(x)=0$ for any $x=\left[x_{1}, 0\right]^{T}$, nevertheless the system can be asymptotically stable. The following simulation demonstrates a case where the initial condition is $x_{0}=[1,0]^{T}$. We can see, however, that the value of the angular velocity $\left(x_{2}\right)$ is mostly nonzero during the simulation (green curve), therefore the energy of the system (the value of the Lyapunov function along the trajectory - red curve) decreases as time goes by. One can also consider that in those places where the angular velocity $\left(x_{2}\right)$ is equal to zero, the time derivative of the Lyapunov function along the trajectory is equal to zero ( the derivative of the "red function" is zero there), which means that the system keeps its energy for a moment. This occurs in those cases when the pendulum has reached its maximal deviation but it has not started to fall yet.


The numerical parameters of the simulation are: $g=9.82\left[m / s^{2}\right], l=1[m], m=1[k g], b=$ $1\left[\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]$

## III. Kalman decomposition

Compulsory only for the students of the course "01TG" (csak a tehetséggondozásban résztvevő hallgatók számára kötelezô).
Problem 1. Given a strictly proper $(D=0)$ state space model $(A, B, C)$. Based on the appendices of the lecture notes, prove that $v \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{O}_{n}^{T}\right)$ implies $A^{T} v \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{O}_{n}^{T}\right)$, where $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ is the observability matrix.
Problem 2. Given a redundant 8 th order SISO state space model $\left(A \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 8}, B, C, D\right)$. The values of the model matrices are given in the binary kalman_decomp_ABCD.mat file. Produce the Kalman decomposition of this system. You can use the following build-in Matlab functions: svd, null, orth, rank. In order to check your computations, you may use ss, tf, minreal.

