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## Pole-placement controller

## Pole-placement controller based on Bass-Gura formula

$$
K=(\underline{\alpha}-\underline{a}) T_{l}^{-1} \mathcal{C}^{-1}
$$

where $\underline{\alpha}$ is the expected (prescribed) characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system, $\underline{a}$ is the characteristic polynomial of the original (uncontrolled) system, $\mathcal{C}$ is the controllability matrix, finally $T_{l}$ is the following Toeplitz matrix:

$$
T_{l}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\
0 & 1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{n-3} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example 1. Design a pole-placement controller for the following CT LTI SISO system:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\binom{1}{2} \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solution.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a(s)=s^{2}-3 s+2 \\
a_{1}=-3 \\
a_{2}=2
\end{array}
$$

The prescribed characteristic polynomial $\left(\phi_{c}(s)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha(s)=s^{2}+3 s+2 \\
\alpha_{1}=3 \\
\alpha_{2}=2
\end{array}
$$

A Toeplitz matrix and the controllability matrix in this case are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{l}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a_{1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) & \mathcal{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 \\
2 & 2
\end{array}\right) \\
T_{l}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) & \mathcal{C}^{-1}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Than the static state feedback will be the following:

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3-(-3) & 2-2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{6}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right)=(-4
$$

## Ackermann formula

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \mathcal{C}_{n}^{-1} \phi_{c}(A)
$$

where $\phi_{c}(s)$ is the prescribed characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop (controlled) system. In the previous example, it was denoted by $\alpha(s)=\phi_{c}(s)$.

Example 2. Design a pole-placement controller for the following CT LTI SISO system:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\binom{1}{2} \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solution.

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & A B
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 \\
2 & 2
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
-\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Legyen $\lambda_{1}=-1$ és $\lambda_{2}=-2$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{c}=\left(s-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(s-\lambda_{2}\right)=s^{2}+3 s+2 \\
\phi_{c}(A)=A^{2}+3 A+2 I=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
12 & -12 \\
0 & 6
\end{array}\right) \\
K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
-\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
12 & -12 \\
0 & 6
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-4 & 5
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Check

$$
\begin{gathered}
A-B K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\binom{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-4 & 5
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
6 & -7 \\
8 & -9
\end{array}\right) \\
\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-(A-B K))=\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+2
\end{gathered}
$$

Namely, the poles of the obtained closed-loop system are indeed the prescribed values.

Example 3. Design a pole-placement controller for the following CT LTI SISO system:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & -1 \\
3 & -2
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\binom{1}{0} \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solution.

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & A B
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 3
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\
0 & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\lambda_{1}=-1$ and $\lambda_{2}=-2$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{c}=\left(s+\lambda_{1}\right)\left(s+\lambda_{2}\right)=s^{2}+3 s+2 \\
\phi_{c}(A)=A^{2}+3 A+2 I=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
9 & -3 \\
9 & -3
\end{array}\right) \\
K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\
0 & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
9 & -3 \\
9 & -3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Check:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A-B K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & -1 \\
3 & -2
\end{array}\right)-\binom{1}{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
3 & -2
\end{array}\right) \\
\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-(A-B K))=\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+2
\end{gathered}
$$

Indeed, the poles of the closed loop system are the prescribed values.

Example 4. Given the following CT LTI SISO systems

1. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\dot{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 0 \\ 9 & -3\end{array}\right) x+\binom{0}{3} u \\ y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right) x\end{array}\right.$
2. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\dot{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 0 \\ 9 & -3\end{array}\right) x+\binom{2}{3} u \\ y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right) x\end{array}\right.$

Design a state feedback controller (if it is possible), that stabilizes the system!

Example 5. Given the following CT LTI SISO system $H(s)=\frac{2 s-4}{s^{2}+s-6}$.

1. Is the system asymptotically stable?
2. If it is possible, design a controller, that shifts the system's poles to $p_{1}=-3$ and $p_{2}=-5$ ! Hint: controllability normal form.

## Linear state observer design

Goal: computation of the values of the non-measured state variables of the system using the observed output.

The dynamical system

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{x}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=F \hat{x}+L y+H u
$$

is called a full order state observer, if the error dynamics $e=x-\hat{x}$ tends to zero, i.e. $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e=0$
In case of an LTI system:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{x}=A x+B u \\
y=C x \\
\dot{e}=\dot{x}-\dot{\hat{x}}=A x+B u-F \hat{x}-L y-H u+F x-F x= \\
=A x+B u-F \hat{x}-L C x-H u+F x-F x= \\
=(A-L C-F) x+(B-H) u+F(x-\hat{x})=(A-L C-F) x+(B-H) u+F(e)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $F=A-L C$ and $H=B$
Than $\dot{e}=F e$
We require that the system be asymptotically stable, namely the real part of the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\operatorname{det}(s I-(A-L C))$ be negative.

$$
\operatorname{det}(s I-(A-L C))=\operatorname{det}\left(s I-\left(A^{T}-C^{T} L^{T}\right)\right)
$$

We can observe that the state observer design can be traced back to a pole placement problem of $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$, where $A^{\prime}=A^{T}, B^{\prime}=C^{T}$, and the result $(K)$ of the pole placement should be interpreted as $L=K^{T}$.

Example 6. Design a state observer for the following CT LTI SISO system

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-3 & 1 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\binom{1}{-1} \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solution.
Let the characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system: $\phi_{o}(s)=(s+3)(s+3)$
In order to use the Ackermann, formula we should substitute $A^{\prime}=A^{T}$ into $\phi_{o}(s)$ :

$$
\phi_{o}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 4 \\
2 & 6
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $B^{\prime}=C^{T}$, the obtained controllability matrix for $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ (which is actually the transpose of the observability matrix of $(A, C))$ is:

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 2 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Its inverse will be:

$$
\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 2 & 1 \\
1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Finally, we compute the feedback gain $K$ :

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 2 & 1 \\
1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 4 \\
2 & 6
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

From this:

$$
L=K^{T}=\binom{1}{2} \quad F=A-L C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-3 & 0 \\
2 & -3
\end{array}\right) \quad H=\binom{1}{-1}
$$

Example 7. Design a state observer for the following CT LTI SISO system

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & -2
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\binom{1}{1} \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example 8. Design a state observer AND a stabilizer state feedback controller for the following CT LTI SISO system.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & -1 \\
3 & -2
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\binom{1}{0} \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Separation principle: the observer gain $L$ and the feedback gain $K$ can be designed separately.

## Optimal state feedback controller - LQR controller design

We want to minimize the following functional:

$$
J(x, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} x^{T} Q x+u^{T} R u d t
$$

where $Q$ and $R$ are positive definite symmetric matrices. In case of LTI systems this problem can be traced back to a CARE (continuous-time algebraic Riccati equation):

$$
K A+A^{T} K-K B R^{-1} B^{T} K+Q=0
$$

The system can be stabilized with the $u=-G x$ state feedback, where

$$
G=R^{-1} B^{T} K
$$

Example 9. Design an optimal LQR controller for the following system: $\dot{x}=2 x+u$, i.e $A=2, B=1$. Solution. We minimize the following functional:

$$
J=\frac{1}{2} \int 5 x^{2}+u^{2} d t
$$

meaning that in our case $Q=5$ and $R=1$. In this case (first order system - only one single state variable) the CARE will have the following form:

$$
-K^{2}+4 K+5=0
$$

The solutions for $K$ are 5 and -1 . By definition, we should choose the positive one, otherwise, we obtain a positive feedback.

$$
G=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 5=5
$$

Finally, the computed state feedback: $u=-5 x$.

